

| | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/798,580 | Applicant(s) BLINN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN | Art Unit 2434 | |

All Participants:

(1) DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
15, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Frank Agovino.

(4) _____.

Time: 2pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner noted to the applicant that the following was missing from individual claims to order to expedite an examiners amendment, which would bring the application to allowance:

Claims 22: 64 bit PUID

database containing a first policy and second policy group

Claim 30: 64 bit PUID

database containing a first policy and second policy group

Claim 35: 64 bit PUID

database contain a first policy and second policy group coupled to a central server